

Recent Advances in Palm

NEUTRALITY OF PALMITIC ACID GAINS MOMENTUM

□ Kalyana Sundram

Gone are the days when we were practically afraid to talk about the nutritional properties of palm oil. The fear then was not so much our reservation about its nutritional attributes but rather the lack of published references available in the world biomedical databases. This was significantly corrected by PORIM's concerted efforts in propagating well-defined research themes to evaluate and understand the underlying mechanisms that dictate the nutritional effects of palm oil and its fractions. To date, PORIM has commissioned a total of 97 such nutritional trials worldwide and many of the completed studies have now been published in reputed journals and indexed in the best biomedical databases making access to these important scientific findings available to anyone interested. It would also be correct to point out here that PORIM's effort has indirectly spurred other independent research on this important food commodity recently and this factor is borne by the increasing number of publications generated by various research groups not funded by PORIM. A number of recent publications on this subject are summarized in this review.

Palmitic versus lauric, myristic acids in the human diet

Based on data from animal and human studies, the generally held notion that the saturated fatty acids, specifically lauric, myristic and palmitic are equally cholesterolaemic has been challenged in recent years. The challenge has been spearheaded by the group led by Prof. K.C. Hayes at the Foster Biomedical Research Laboratories, Brandeis University, in the United States of America. An early report from this laboratory showed that plasma cholesterol in their monkeys was not uniformly elevated by the different saturated fatty acids. Surprisingly, plasma total cholesterol and the atherogenic LDL-cholesterol decreased as the content of palmitic acid in the diet was increased at the expense of lauric+myristic acids. This clearly suggested that palmitic acid was not cholesterol raising as previously postulated by the classical predicative equations of Keys and Hegsted. Further studies from this laboratory using radiolabeled lipoprotein kinetics suggested that palmitic acid-rich palm oil and oleic acid-rich safflower

oil had almost equivalent effects on LDL-cholesterol metabolism. A clear emergence from this study and other similar studies was the observation that the LDL-receptors activity (important for the regulation of the atherogenic LDL-cholesterol) was not down-regulated by a palm oil enriched diet. It soon became apparent that myristic acid (occurring mainly in coconut oil, butterfat and palm kernel oil) was the single most potent cholesterol elevating saturated fatty acid in the diet. These studies also gave birth to the concept of a 'threshold' level for the polyunsaturated linoleic acid. Unlike previous arguments in the literature it was postulated that a maximum of 5%–7% energy as linoleic acid in the diet was optimum for maintenance of a preferred plasma cholesterol-lipoprotein balance (Hayes & Khosla).

These animal studies have now been tested in several human clinical trials giving greater credence for the neutrality of palmitic acid. Sundram *et al.* (1994) undertook a direct comparison of palmitic acid versus a lauric+myristic acid combination in 18 healthy male volunteers fed controlled diets for four week durations. The hypothesis tested was that the selective exchange of dietary palmitic acid for

Oil Nutrition

lauric+myristic acids would produce lower plasma cholesterol concentrations in normocholesterolaemic individuals, thereby proving that the saturated fatty acids were not uniform in their cholesterolaemic effects. The lauric+myristic acid enriched diet resulted in significantly higher total and LDL-cholesterol than the palmitic acid enriched (palm olein) diet. An independent human study by Schwab *et al.* (1995) evaluated the effect of diets differentiated by either lauric or palmitic acids but in which the content of myristic acid was held at a low but constant level. There were no differences in the concentration of serum total or lipoprotein cholesterol, apolipoproteins or Lp(a) between the experimental diets. This therefore suggests that the presence of myristic acid in the diet would render it cholesterolaemic. A third study from Scandinavia (Tholstrup *et al.* 1994), also reported similar effects in healthy humans. They demonstrated that substitution of palmitic acid for a lauric+myristic acid enriched diet resulted in significantly elevated serum total and LDL-cholesterol levels in their subjects. The above human studies were successful in demonstrating that the different saturated fatty acids were not

uniform in their effects on plasma cholesterol levels and that myristic acid was the most potent cholesterol raising saturated fatty acid.

Palmitic versus oleic acid in the human diet

Fats in the human diet are distributed as saturated, monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids. The monounsaturated fatty acids have gained prominence as a preferred fatty acid component in the diet. This has been due to several epidemiological and clinical observations that populations consuming a reasonably high amount of the monounsaturated fatty acids are apparently protected against the onset of coronary heart disease. Hence the desire of many to incorporate Mediterranean type of fatty acids in the diet; in this case predominated by olive oil rich in oleic acid. Apart from olive oil, canola, rapeseed, sunflowerseed and safflowerseed oils are rich in oleic acid. It would be in the interest of the reader to remember that the oleic acid content of palm olein is in excess of 45% of its composition. This can be preferentially increased by a double fractionation during processing or by breeding new varieties of palm having an oleic acid composition almost similar to that of olive oil. Nutritionally, there is little doubt about the benefits of a diet

high in monounsaturated oleic acid but the question of how much is optimum remains a mystery for now. The answer to this may at least in a part lie in the human studies evaluating palm olein against the monounsaturated olive and canola oils.

The average Malaysian diet containing 25% energy as fat is predominantly cooked with palm olein. This was the basis of a human study in healthy Malaysian volunteers fed controlled diets and cooked almost entirely with either palm olein or olive oil (Ng *et al.*, 1992). Thirty-three subjects were initially challenged with a coconut oil-rich diet for four weeks and subsequently assigned to either a palm olein-rich or olive oil-rich diet for six week durations. The coconut oil diet significantly raised all lipid and lipoprotein parameters. Subsequent one-to-one exchange between the palm olein and olive oil diets resulted in identical serum total, LDL and HDL cholesterol in these volunteers. This was in spite of the observation that the palm olein diet had almost 21% more palmitic acid and 22% less oleic acid than the olive oil diet.

A second but independent comparison of palm olein versus olive oil was performed in Australia recently (Choudhury *et al.*, 1995). Twenty-one healthy free living volunteers who normally consumed a typical high fat Australian type diet were initially conditioned to a low fat

diet. To this was added the test oils, palm olein and olive oil in the form of spreads, frying fat and bakery fat such that approximately 30% energy from fats was available during these experimental dietary periods. As a result, energy intake from oleic acid during the olive oil period was 1.6 times that during the palm olein period. Conversely, energy derived from palmitic acid during the olive oil period was half that during the palm olein period. Theoretically these differences in the oleic and palmitic acid consumption levels should have resulted in significant differences in the volunteers' blood cholesterol levels. However, under these experimental conditions plasma total and LDL-cholesterol were again identical with the two test oils.

In another Malaysian study (Sundram *et al.*, 1995), resident male volunteers were fed diets supplying approximately 31% energy as fat and derived from palm olein (high palmitic acid), canola oil (high oleic acid) or an American Heart Association Step 1 diet (equal amounts of saturates, monounsaturates and polyunsaturates). The palm olein and canola oil diets represented a direct exchange of 7% energy between palmitic acid for oleic+linoleic acids. The expected differences in plasma cholesterol levels again did not materialize since the diets resulted in identical lipid and lipoprotein cholesterol levels.

The above human studies clearly demonstrate that in a 30% fat energy dietary environment the lipidaemic

effects of palm olein are comparable to the monounsaturated olive and canola oils although their fatty acid compositions differ significantly. Indeed, the 45% oleic acid content in palm olein was generally found to be hypocholesterolaemic and comparable to the higher content (70%) of oleic acid in olive and canola oils. This helps to emphasize the neutrality of palmitic acid especially in a low fat dietary environment.

Towards an Optimum Balance of LDL/HDL Cholesterol Ratio.

The American Heart Association (AHA) in an attempt to lower incidence and mortality from coronary heart disease in the American population has recommended a modified diet low in fat. Popularly known as the AHA Step 1 diet, the recommendations include a maximum fat consumption of 30% energy in which the saturates, monounsaturates and polyunsaturates are distributed in an equal ratio of 1:1:1. Unfortunately no single edible oil or fat can effectively contribute this desired ratio of the fatty acids. However, it is enlightening to note that this AHA blend can be easily prepared by a blend of palm olein, soya bean oil and canola oil. Indeed such a palm-based AHA blend was recently evaluated in humans (Sundram *et al.*, 1995). The AHA blend did not affect serum total and LDL-cholesterol in comparison to a palm olein or canola enriched diet. However, the AHA blend caused a 17% significant in-

crease in the beneficial HDL-cholesterol and thereby altered the LDL/HDL cholesterol ratio beneficially. This led the authors to hypothesise that an optimal LDL/HDL ratio may depend on an appropriate balance between the saturates and polyunsaturates in the diet and that the saturates in the form of palmitic acid from palm oil/olein appears more desirable. Considering that every unit increase in the HDL-cholesterol level is deemed beneficial, the incorporation of high levels of palm olein to achieve an AHA type blend could foster better protection against the onset of coronary heart disease in a given population.

Positional distribution of palm triglyceride fatty acids and their nutritional implications

Dietary fats and oils differ in their distribution of fatty acids within the triglyceride molecules. Depending on their positional distribution, it has been postulated that lipoprotein concentration and distribution may be influenced. Typically, triglycerides are absorbed in the intestine after hydrolysis to monoglycerides and fatty acids. They are then resynthesized into triglycerides and secreted in the chylomicrons which largely retain the original fatty acid in the 2-position. Palmitic acid in palm oil is predominantly esterified in the 1 and 3 positions of the triglyceride. This is also true for most other vegetable oils. On the other hand in most animal fats and especially in pork fat (lard), the palmitic acid occupies the 2-position.

Two recently published studies have attempted to elucidate the nutritional properties of palm oil on the basis of its triglyceride fatty acid distribution. In their rat study Renaud *et al.* (1995), suggested that palm oil was not thrombogenic as might be expected from its fatty acid composition due to the majority of its saturates being esterified in the 1 and 3 positions. Indeed, when palm oil was interesterified and palmitic acid was largely repositioned in the 2-position (as occurring naturally in lard) a higher thrombogenicity of the interesterified fat was evident. Zock *et al.* (1995), examined the effect of palm oil and enzymatically modified palm oil in sixty subjects. Their natural palm oil had 82% of the palmitic acid attached to the 1 and 3 positions whereas the enzymatically modified palm oil had only 35% palmitic acid attached to the 1 and 3 positions. On the enzymatically modified palm oil diet a non-significant increase in total and LDL-cholesterol was recorded. Although they concluded that such modification of palm oil does not confer any advantages on cholesterol metabolism, its effects on other nutritional parameters especially the thrombogenic factors may need further examinations.

CONCLUSION

The studies quoted above provide strong and credible evidence for the nutritional wholesomeness of palm oil and its fractions. The growth in the trade and consumption of palm oil has also been

matched by increasing interests in understanding its physical, chemical and nutritional properties. This trend is expected to continue and the reader can expect more information on the health aspects of palm oil and its fractions in the near future.

REFERENCES

- CHOU DHURY, N; TAN, L and TRUSWELL, A S (1995). Comparison of palm olein and olive oil: effects on plasma lipids and vitamin E in young adults. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 61, 1043-1051.
- HAYES, K C and KHOSLA, P (1992). Dietary fatty acid thresholds and cholesterolaemia. *FASEB Journal* 6, 2600-2607.
- NG, T K W; HAYES, K C; deWITT, G F; JEGATHESAN, M; SATGUNASINGAM, N; ONG, A S H and TAN, D T S (1992). Dietary palmitic and oleic acids exert similar effects on serum cholesterol and lipoprotein profiles in normocholesterolemic men and women. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* 11, 383-390.
- RENAUD, S C; RUF, J C and PETITHORY, D (1995). The positional distribution of fatty acids in palm oil and lard influences their biological effects in rats. *Journal of Nutrition* 125, 229-237.
- SCHWAB, U S; NISKANEN, L K; MALIRANTA, H M; SAVOLAINEN, M J; KESANIEMI, Y A and UUSITUPA, M I J (1995). Lauric and palmitic acid-enriched diets have minimal impact on serum lipid and lipoprotein concentrations and glucose metabolism in healthy young women. *Journal of Nutrition* 125, 466-473.
- SUNDRAM, K; HAYES, K C and SIRU, O H (1994). Dietary palmitic acid results in lower serum cholesterol than a lauric-myristic acid combination in normolipemic humans. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 59, 841-846.
- SUNDRAM, K; HAYES, K C AND SIRU, O H (1995). Both dietary 18:2 and 16:0 may be required to improve the serum LDL/HDL cholesterol ratio in normocholesterolemic men. *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* 6, 179-187.
- THOLSTRUP, T; MARCKMANN, P; JEPERSEN, J and SANDSTROM, B (1994). Fat high in stearic acid favourably affects blood lipids and factor VII coagulant activity in comparison with fats high in palmitic acid or high in myristic and lauric acids. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 59, 371-379.
- ZOCK, P L; VRIES, J H M; FOUM, N J and KATAN, M B (1995). Positional distribution of fatty acids in dietary triglycerides: Effects on fasting blood lipoprotein concentrations in humans. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 61, 48-55.